

Psychology of Perception

Psychology 4165, Section 100

Spring 2016

Tuesday and Thursday

11:00–12:15

Muenzinger E131

Lewis O. Harvey, Jr. – Instructor
Scott M. Schafer–Teaching Assistant
Vince Mathias–Learning Assistant



Thatcher Illusion (Thompson, 1980)

**This Page Blank (except, of course, for these words and the header and the footer, so
I guess that it's not really a blank page at all)**

Syllabus Topics and Reading Assignments

1	12 Jan	Introduction	(W 1)	9	8 Mar	Hearing	(W 9)
1	14 Jan	Psychophysics	(W 1)	9	10 Mar	Hearing •5	(W 9)
2	19 Jan	Vision	(W 2)	10	15 Mar	Audition	(W 10)
2	21 Jan	Vision •1	(W 2)	10	17 Mar	Audition •6	(W 10)
3	26 Jan	Spatial Vision	(W 3)	11	22 Mar	Spring Break	
3	28 Jan	Spatial Vision •2	(W 3)	11	24 Mar	Spring Break	
4	2 Feb	Object Perception	(W 4)	12	29 Mar	Music & Speech	(W 11)
4	4 Feb	Object Perception •3	(W 4)	12	31 Mar	Music & Speech •7	(W 11)
5	9 Feb	Color Vision	(W 5)	13	5 Apr	Vestibular	(W 12)
5	11 Feb	Color Vision	(W 5)	13	7 Apr	Vestibular •8	(W 12)
6	16 Feb	Space Perception	(W 6)	14	12 Apr	Touch	(W 13)
6	18 Feb	Space Perception •4	(W 6)	14	14 Apr	Touch	(W 13)
7	23 Feb	Attention •SG	(W 7)	15	19 Apr	Taste & Smell	(W 14 & 15)
7	25 Feb	Attention	(W 7)	15	21 Apr	Taste & Smell	(W 14 & 15)
8	1 Mar	First Examination		16	26 Apr	Taste & Smell •SG	(W 14 & 15)
8	3 Mar	Illusions & Motion	(W 8)	16	28 Apr	Review	
					4 May	Final Exam, Wednesday Afternoon (13:30–16:00)	

Textbooks for the Course

Wolfe, J. M., Kluender, K. R., Levi, D. M., Bartoshuk, L. M., Herz, R. S., Klatzky, R. L., . . . Merfeld, D. M. (2015). *Sensation and Perception*. Sunderland, Massachusetts: Sinauer Associates, Inc. (Required).

Note: The numbers in parentheses above refer to chapters in the Wolfe (W) text. Please read the indicated chapter before the class meeting.

Psychology of Perception
Psychology 4165-100
Spring 2016
11:00–12:15 TR

Lewis O. Harvey, Jr.–Instructor
Scott M. Schafer–Teaching Assistant
Vincent Mathias–Learning Assistant
Steven M. Parker–Consultant

Office Hours

Name	Lewis O. Harvey, Jr.	Scott Schafer
Office	MUEN D251b	MUEN ??
Hours	9:00–10:00 MF, 11:00–12:00 W and by appointment	11:00–12:15 TR in lab and by appointment
Telephone	303-492-8882	
email	lewis.harvey@colorado.edu	scott.schafer@colorado.edu
web	http://psych.colorado.edu/~lharvey/	

Name	Vincent Mathias
Office	NA
Hours	in class, lab, and by appointment
Telephone	none
email	vincent.mathias@colorado.edu

Laboratory Schedule

Section L101: 12:30–15:20 Tuesday, Room MUEN D346

Section L102: 12:30–15:20 Thursday, Room MUEN D346

1.	12 & 14 Jan 2016	Lab 0 Doing Computer-Controlled Experiments (PsychoPy & R are your friends)
2.	19 & 21 Jan 2016	Lab 1 Data Collection: Face Recognition
3.	26 & 28 Jan 2016	Lab 1 Data Analyses: Face Recognition
4.	2 & 4 Feb 2016	Lab 2 Data Collection: Loudness Scaling Lab 1 Report Due (30 points)
5.	9 & 11 Feb 2016	Lab 2 Data Analyses: Loudness Scaling
6.	16 & 18 Feb 2016	Lab 3 Create PsychoPy Experiment: Stroop Effect Lab 2 Report Due (40 points)
7.	23 & 25 Feb 2016	Lab 3 Group Data Analysis: Stroop Effect Lab 3 Report Preparation: Stroop Effect
8.	1 & 3 Mar 2016	Lab 3 Report Due (50 points) Form Research Project Teams First Draft of Project Proposal Due Cover Page, Introduction, Methods, Expected Results, References)
9.	8 & 10 Mar 2016	Work on Group Projects: Design Experiment
10.	15 & 17 Mar 2016	Work on Group Projects: Data Collection
11.	22 & 24 Mar 2016	Spring Break: No Classes
12.	29 & 31 Mar 2016	Work on Group Projects: Data Collection
13.	5 & 7 Apr 2016	Work on Group Projects: Data Analysis
14.	12 & 14 Apr 2016	Work on Group Projects: Data Analysis
15.	19 & 21 Apr 2016	Work on Group Projects Work on Project Presentations
16.	26 Apr 2016, Tuesday 27 Apr 2016, Wednesday 28 Apr 2016, Thursday	All Group Project Presentations , (10 points), MUEN D430, 12:30-15:20 Undergraduate Research Day, UMC Glenn Miller Ballroom (10 points) Final Project Reports due in class (40 + 20 points)

Original Articles

1.	12 Jan 2016	(Swets, 1961)
2.	19 Jan 2016	(Schiller, 2010)
3.	26 Jan 2016	(Schiller & Carvey, 2005)
4.	2 Feb 2016	(Owens, Antonoff, & Francis, 1994)
5.	9 Feb 2016	(Jacobs & Nathans, 2009)
6.	16 Feb 2016	(Kaufman & Rock, 1962)
7.	23 Feb 2016	(Most & Astur, 2007; Most, Scholl, Clifford, & Simons, 2005)
8.	1 Mar 2016	(Nuthmann, 2014; Psalta, Young, Thompson, & Andrews, 2014)
9.	8 Mar 2016	(Plomp, 1964)
10.	15 Mar 2016	(Plomp & Levelt, 1965)
11.	22 Mar 2016	Spring Break Week
12.	29 Mar 2016	(Poeppel, Emmorey, Hickok, & Pylkkänen, 2012)
13.	5 Apr 2016	(Held, 1965)
14.	12 Apr 2016	(Guterstam, Petkova, & Ehrsson, 2011; Slater, Spanlang, Sanchez-Vives, & Blanke, 2010)
15.	19 Apr 2016	(Gelstein et al., 2011; Savic, Berglund, Gulyas, & Roland, 2001)
16.	26 Apr 2016	Last Week of Class

Copies of these papers are available to download for reading through D2L using your CU IdentiKey ID. See the reference section at the end of the syllabus for complete citation information.

Conditions Under Which The Course Operates

Lecture:

There will be two exams given during the semester: one mid-term and one final examination. Both are required. No make-up examinations will be given. You will receive a grade of zero for each exam not taken. There will be eight homework assignments. Each homework will be handed out on a Thursday (marked by bullets on the syllabus) and will be due the following Thursday. Hard copies of the homework should be handed in at lecture and an electronic copy uploaded to the Desire2Learn dropbox.

Original Articles Reading:

There are 19 original journal articles that are assigned as part of the course. These papers will form the basis of a 10 page paper about experimental design and drawing conclusions from data that you will write. This paper will be due on Thursday, 21 April 2016, and is worth 50 points.

Laboratory:

The laboratory is not optional in PSYC 4165. There are four graded assignments in the laboratory. The sum of the four grades will be your laboratory grade. All lab assignments must be written and printed with a computer word processor and all graphs must be prepared using computer graphics.

Grading:

Your final grade is computed from your exam scores, homework grades, and the laboratory grade. The total possible points in the course is 850:

200	First Examination (Tuesday, 1 March 2016, 11:00-12:15)
300	Final Examination (Wednesday, 4 May 2016, 13:30–16:00)
200	Laboratory Grade
80	Homework Grade
50	Analytic Paper Grade (21 April 2016)
20	Participation

850	Total Possible Points

Your final letter grade in the course will be assigned in the following manner. First a "Reference Score" will be calculated by taking the mean of the top three students in the class. Your grade will be determined by how well you have done in comparison to this reference score:

	A >96.6%,	A- >93.3% of the reference score
B+ >90.0%,	B >86.6%,	B- >83.3% of the reference score
C+ >80.0%,	C >76.6%,	C- >73.3% of the reference score
D+ >70.0%,	D >66.6%,	D- >63.3% of the reference score
	F <63.3%	

It is therefore possible for the entire class to receive the grade of A. By the same token, it is also possible that very few people would receive an A, depending on the spread of grades across the class.

Comments About The Psychology Of Perception

Why Take This Course?

There are three reasons to take this course:

1. To gain an understanding of the capabilities and limitations of our perceptual experiences;
2. To sharpen your ability to critically evaluate the results of experiments in light of theories of perception;
3. To gain practical skills in the use of computers for designing experiments, for analyzing and graphing data, and for preparing written laboratory reports.

The study of perception is the oldest part of modern psychology. It developed from trying to answer two questions posed by philosophers: “How do we know what we know?” and “Why do things appear the way they appear?” Since most of what we know about the outside world comes to us through our sensory systems, our sensory capabilities were the first to be studied extensively. Perceptions are derived from neural and psychological mechanisms that operate on sensory information. We will study the limits of our sensory and perceptual abilities and learn how to characterize the unreliability that results from these limits.

Prerequisites:

A broad understanding of the basic concepts from a general psychology course is assumed. You will be using methods of inferential statistics, such as those taught in Psychology 3101, to evaluate the results of your experiments. A facile ability with these methods in particular and with mathematical concepts through algebra and trigonometry are required. A familiarity with calculus is helpful but is not necessary. Please work through the eight questions on the next two pages. If you find these questions very difficult and you don’t even know how to find out how to answer them, you probably are not ready to take this course.

You will be expected to write in a clear and grammatically correct style in this class. If you believe you will require extra help with your writing, please visit The Writing Center located in Norlin Commons (Norlin E111). More information can be found at:

<http://www.colorado.edu/pwr/writingcenter.html>.

You can also reach The Writing Center help desk by phone at (303) 735-6906.

You need to make a considerable commitment of time to do well in this class. For each credit hour of the course you should expect to spend 3 hours on class-related activities (studying, research, writing) per week. Since the class is a four-credit course, expect to spend 12 additional hours per week outside the class and laboratory.

Skills Needed for Psychology of Perception

Question 1:

Rearrange the following linear equation to solve for b : $y = a + bx$

$$b =$$

Question 2:

Solve the following equation for X : $y = \log(x)$

$$x =$$

Question 3:

Compute the arithmetic mean and the standard deviation of this sample of numbers:

10.0, 9.0, 12.0, 11.0, 8.5, 13.0, 8.0, 10.0, 7.0, and 11.5:

$$\mu =$$

$$\sigma =$$

Question 4:

In an experiment you observe the number of times six different kinds of events occur. A theoretical model makes predictions about how often these events *should* occur. These data are presented in the table below. Compute the chi-square (χ^2) statistic to test if the observed data are significantly different from the predicted data. You may assume $n-1=5$ degrees of freedom for the significance test.

	E1	E2	E3	E4	E5	E6
Observed Data	174.0	172.0	104.0	92.0	41.0	8.0
Predicted Data	175.5	167.8	106.5	90.4	44.3	6.5

$$\chi^2 =$$

Question 5:

In an experiment with two levels of an independent variable you observe the following values of the dependent variable for 10 subjects (five were tested under level 1 and five under level 2). Compute the mean of each column and calculate a t-test (or ANOVA if you wish) to test the hypothesis that there is not a meaningful difference between the means of groups:

Level 1		Level 2	
Subject	Dependent	Subject	Dependent
1	8.0	6	10.0
2	9.0	7	9.5
3	7.5	8	11.0
4	7.0	9	9.0
5	8.5	10	10.5
Mean		Mean	

$t(df) =$

$p =$

Question 6:

Convert the probability 0.8413447 to a quantile score based on the cumulative distribution function (CDF) of the unit normal Gaussian distribution (a quantile is a z-score). Such a transformation is achieved by the quantile function ($q \leftarrow \text{qnorm}(p)$ in R, where p is the probability). What is the probability that a single sample drawn from a population having a Gaussian distribution with a mean of 0.0 and a standard deviation of 1.0 will have a value of 1.959964 or greater (use $\text{pnorm}(q)$ in R)?

$q =$

$p =$

Question 7:

Using least-squares linear regression, compute the slope (a) and y-intercept (b) of the straight line, $y = a + bx$, that best fits this set of data. In R you can use $\text{lm}(y \sim 1 + x)$:

x	1.0	3.0	5.0	7.0	9.0
y	0.98	8.73	17.0	20.9	27.4

$a =$

$b =$

Question 8:

Plot the data in Question 7 on a graph using linear axes. The x-axis should have a range of 0.0 to 10.0 and the y-axis should range from 0.0 to 30. Use the $\text{plot}()$ function in R.

AGREEMENTS FOR PARTICIPATING IN THE COURSE

The purpose of these agreements is to create a condition that allows all people in the class to get maximum value from the course.

AGREEMENTS

- 1 You agree to be responsible for these agreements.
- 2 You agree to be on time to class and to your laboratory meetings.
- 3 You agree to complete the assigned reading and homework on time.
- 4 You agree to complete your laboratory assignments on time.
- 5 You agree to attend all class and laboratory meetings unless an emergency comes up.
- 6 You agree to understand the material.
- 7 You agree to ask questions when you don't understand the material.
- 8 You agree to communicate any complaints and criticisms you may have only to someone who can do something about the situation and you agree not to complain or to criticize to someone who cannot do something about the situation.
- 9 You agree to get value out of your participation in the course.

If you attend the next class meeting, you are accepting responsibility for the above agreements.

Academic Integrity Policy

A university's intellectual reputation depends on maintaining the highest standards of intellectual honesty. Commitment to those standards is a responsibility of every student, faculty, and staff member on the University of Colorado at Boulder campus.

A university's intellectual reputation depends on maintaining the highest standards of intellectual honesty. Commitment to those standards is a responsibility of every student, faculty, and staff member on the University of Colorado at Boulder campus.

Honor Code

A student-run Honor Code was instituted on the Boulder Campus in 2002. The intent of the Honor Code is to establish a community of trust where students do not plagiarize, cheat, or obtain unauthorized academic materials. An honor code council collaborates with the colleges and schools in addressing allegations and instances of academic dishonesty and in assisting to educate all members of the university community on academic integrity issues.

Breaches of academic honesty include cheating, plagiarism, and the unauthorized possession of examinations, papers, computer programs, as well as other class materials specifically released by the faculty.

A student accused of academic dishonesty will either accept the accusation made by a faculty member or request a hearing before a student panel, who will make a decision on the accusation of academic dishonesty. In addition to academic sanctions imposed by the faculty, students found guilty of academic dishonesty also face consequences from the honor code council ranging from attending a mandatory class in ethics to expulsion from the campus. More information about CU-Boulder's Honor Code may be found at www.colorado.edu/academics/honorcode/Home.html.

The following terms are clarified for the benefit of all members of the university community.

Cheating

Cheating is defined as using unauthorized materials or receiving unauthorized assistance during an examination or other academic exercise. Examples of cheating include: copying the work of another student during an examination or other academic exercise (includes computer programming), or permitting another student to copy one's work; taking an examination for another student or allowing another student to take one's examination; possessing unauthorized notes, study sheets, examinations, or other materials during an examination or other academic exercise; collaborating with another student during an academic exercise without the instructor's consent; and/or falsifying examination results.

Plagiarism

Plagiarism is defined as the use of another's ideas or words without appropriate acknowledgment. Examples of plagiarism include: failing to use quotation marks when directly quoting from a source; failing to document distinctive ideas from a source; fabricating or inventing sources; and copying information from computer-based sources, i.e., the Internet.

Unauthorized Possession or Disposition of Academic Materials

Unauthorized possession or disposition of academic materials may include: selling or purchasing examinations, papers, reports or other academic work; taking another student's academic work without permission; possessing examinations, papers, reports, or other assignments not released by an instructor; and/or submitting the same paper for multiple classes without advance instructor authorization and approval.

Reproduced from: <http://www.colorado.edu/policies/academic-integrity-policy>

Check out <http://www.umuc.edu/writingcenter/plagiarism/> for explicit examples.

Statements Recommended by Associate Vice Chancellor for Undergraduate Education

1. Recommended syllabus statement on disabilities:

If you qualify for accommodations because of a disability, please submit to your professor a letter from Disability Services in a timely manner (for exam accommodations provide your letter at least one week prior to the exam) so that your needs can be addressed. Disability Services determines accommodations based on documented disabilities. Contact Disability Services at 303-492-8671 or by e-mail at dsinfo@colorado.edu. If you have a temporary medical condition or injury, see Temporary Injuries under Quick Links at Disability Services website (<http://disabilityservices.colorado.edu/>) and discuss your needs with your professor.

2. Recommended syllabus statement on religious observances:

Campus policy regarding religious observances requires that faculty make every effort to reasonably and fairly deal with all students who, because of religious obligations, have conflicts with scheduled exams, assignments or required attendance. Please speak with me if you have a conflict. See policy details at http://www.colorado.edu/policies/fac_relig.html

3. Recommended syllabus statement on classroom behavior:

Students and faculty each have responsibility for maintaining an appropriate learning environment. Students who fail to adhere to behavioral standards may be subject to discipline. Faculty have the professional responsibility to treat students with understanding, dignity and respect, to guide classroom discussion and to set reasonable limits on the manner in which students express opinions. See policies at <http://www.colorado.edu/policies/classbehavior.html> and at http://www.colorado.edu/studentaffairs/judicialaffairs/code.html#student_code/

4. The Office of Discrimination and Harassment:

The University of Colorado Boulder (CU-Boulder) is committed to maintaining a positive learning, working, and living environment. The University of Colorado does not discriminate on the basis of race, color, national origin, sex, age, disability, creed, religion, sexual orientation, or veteran status in admission and access to, and treatment and employment in, its educational programs and activities. (Regent Law, Article 10, amended 11/8/2001). CU-Boulder will not tolerate acts of discrimination or harassment based upon Protected Classes or related retaliation against or by any employee or student. For purposes of this CU-Boulder policy, "Protected Classes" refers to race, color, national origin, sex, pregnancy, age, disability, creed, religion, sexual orientation, gender identity, gender expression, or veteran status. Individuals who believe they have been discriminated against should contact the Office of Discrimination and Harassment (ODH) at 303-492-2127 or the Office of Student Conduct (OSC) at 303-492-5550. Information about the ODH, the above referenced policies, and the campus resources available to assist individuals regarding discrimination or harassment can be obtained at <http://hr.colorado.edu/dh/>

5. Recommended syllabus statement on the Honor Code: All students of the University of Colorado at Boulder are responsible for knowing and adhering to the academic integrity policy of this institution. Violations of this policy may include: cheating, plagiarism, aid of academic dishonesty, fabrication, lying, bribery, and threatening behavior. All incidents of academic misconduct shall be reported to the Honor Code Council (honor@colorado.edu; 303-725-2273). Students who are found to be in violation of the academic integrity policy will be subject to both academic sanctions from the faculty member and non-academic sanctions (including but not limited to university probation, suspension, or expulsion). Additional information on the Honor Code can be found at

<http://www.colorado.edu/policies/honor.html> and at <http://www.colorado.edu/academics/honorcode/>

References

- Gelstein, S., Yeshurun, Y., Rozenkrantz, L., Shushan, S., Frumin, I., Roth, Y., & Sobel, N. (2011). Human Tears Contain a Chemosignal. *Science*, *331*(6014), 226-230.
- Guterstam, A., Petkova, V. I., & Ehrsson, H. H. (2011). The Illusion of Owning a Third Arm. *PLoS ONE*, *6*(2), e17208.
- Held, R. M. (1965). Plasticity in sensory-motor systems. *Scientific American*, *213*(5), 84–94. doi: doi:10.1038/scientificamerican1165-84
- Jacobs, G. H., & Nathans, J. (2009). The evolution of primate color vision. *Scientific American*, *300*(April), 53–63.
- Kaufman, E. L., & Rock, I. (1962). The Moon Illusion. *Scientific American*, *207*(1), 120–131. doi: doi:10.1038/scientificamerican0762-120
- Most, S. B., & Astur, R. S. (2007). Feature-based attentional set as a cause of traffic accidents. *Visual Cognition*, *15*(2), 125-132. doi: 10.1080/13506280600959316
- Most, S. B., Scholl, B. J., Clifford, E. R., & Simons, D. J. (2005). What You See Is What You Set: Sustained Inattentive Blindness and the Capture of Awareness. *Psychological Review*, *112*(1), 217-242.
- Nuthmann, A. (2014). How do the regions of the visual field contribute to object search in real-world scenes? Evidence from eye movements. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance*, *40*(1), 342-360. doi: 10.1037/a0033854
- Owens, D. A., Antonoff, R. J., & Francis, E. L. (1994). Biological motion and nighttime pedestrian conspicuity. *Human Factors*, *36*(4), 718–732. doi: doi: 10.1177/001872089403600411
- Plomp, R. (1964). The Ear as a Frequency Analyzer. *The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*, *36*(9), 1628-1636. doi: doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1121/1.1919256>
- Plomp, R., & Levelt, W. J. M. (1965). Tonal consonance and critical bandwidth. *Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*, *38*(4), 548–560.
- Poeppl, D., Emmorey, K., Hickok, G., & Pylkkänen, L. (2012). Towards a new neurobiology of language. *The Journal of neuroscience : the official journal of the Society for Neuroscience*, *32*(41), 14125-14131. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3244-12.2012
- Psalta, L., Young, A. W., Thompson, P., & Andrews, T. J. (2014). The Thatcher Illusion Reveals Orientation Dependence in Brain Regions Involved in Processing Facial Expressions. *Psychological Science*, *25*(1), 128-136. doi: 10.1177/0956797613501521

- Savic, I., Berglund, H., Gulyas, B., & Roland, P. (2001). Smelling of Odorous Sex Hormone-like Compounds Causes Sex-Differentiated Hypothalamic Activations in Humans. *Neuron*, 31(4), 661-668.
- Schiller, P. H. (2010). Parallel information processing channels created in the retina. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 107(40), 17087-17094. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1011782107
- Schiller, P. H., & Carvey, C. E. (2005). The Hermann grid illusion revisited. *Perception*, 34(11), 1375-1397.
- Slater, M., Spanlang, B., Sanchez-Vives, M. V., & Blanke, O. (2010). First Person Experience of Body Transfer in Virtual Reality. *PLoS ONE*, 5(5), e10564.
- Swets, J. A. (1961). Is there a sensory threshold? *Science*, 134(3473), 168–177.
- Thompson, P. G. (1980). Margaret Thatcher: A new illusion. *Perception*, 9(4), 483–484.